EXHIBIT 97

| | | Page 1 |
|----|--|--------|
| 1 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 2 | MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | NIKKI BOLLINGER GRAE, Individually | |
| | and on Behalf of All Others | |
| 5 | Similarly Situated, | |
| 6 | Plaintiff, Civil Action No. | |
| 7 | vs. 3:16-cv-02267 | |
| 8 | CORRECTIONS CORPORATION OF | |
| | AMERICA, ET AL., | |
| 9 | | |
| | Defendants. | |
| 10 | | |
| | · | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM DALIUS | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | Conducted virtually via remote videoconference | |
| 17 | October 28, 2020 | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | Reported by: | |
| | Misty Klapper, RMR, CRR | |
| 24 | (表) (表) (表) (表) | |
| | Job No.: 10073531 | |

| | | Page 2 |
|----|---|---------|
| 1 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | . ago _ |
| 2 | MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | NIKKI BOLLINGER GRAE, Individually | |
| | and on Behalf of All Others | |
| 5 | Similarly Situated, | |
| 6 | Plaintiff, Civil Action No. | |
| 7 | vs. 3:16-cv-02267 | |
| 8 | CORRECTIONS CORPORATION OF | |
| | AMERICA, ET AL., | |
| 9 | | |
| | Defendants. | |
| 10 | | |
| | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | Videotaped deposition of WILLIAM DALIUS, taken on | |
| 18 | behalf of Plaintiff, via Zoom remote videoconference, | |
| 19 | beginning at 10:30 a.m. CST on Wednesday, October 28, 2020, | |
| 20 | before Misty Klapper, RMR, CRR. | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |

| 1 | APPEARANCES: | Page 3 |
|------------|--|--------|
| | - (2018년 - 11) 12일 - | |
| 2 | (ALL APPEARANCES VIA ZOOM REMOTE VIDEOCONFERENCE) | |
| 3 | ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF: | |
| 4 | CHRISTOPHER HAMP LYONS, ESQUIRE | |
| | ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP | |
| 5 | 414 Union Street, Suite 900 | |
| | Nashville, Tennessee 37219 | |
| 6 | (615) 244-2203 | |
| | E-mail: clyons@rgrdlaw.com | |
| 7 | | |
| | AND | |
| 8 | | |
| | KENNETH J. BLACK, ESQUIRE | |
| 9 | ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP | |
| 3 | Post Montgomery Center | |
| 10 | and the first term to the control of | |
| 10 | One Montgomery Street, Suite 1800 | |
| 44 | San Francisco, California 94104 | |
| 11 | (415) 288-4545 | |
| V/91/24/15 | E-mail: kennyb@rgrdlaw.com | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS: | |
| 16 | MILTON S. McGEE, III, ESQUIRE | |
| | RILEY, WARNOCK & JACOBSON | |
| 17 | 1906 West End Avenue | |
| | Nashville, Tennessee 37203 | |
| 18 | (615) 320-3700 | |
| 4.5 | E-mail: tmcgee@rwjplc.com | |
| 19 | E maii: amogoo@mpro.com | |
| 10 | AND | |
| 20 | AND | |
| 20 | MODOANE MUTMODILL ECOLUDE | |
| 0.4 | MORGAN E. WHITWORTH, ESQUIRE | |
| 21 | LATHAM & WATKINS, LLP | |
| V2020 | 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000 | |
| 22 | San Francisco, California 94111-2562 | |
| | (415) 391-0600 | |
| 23 | E-mail: morgan.whitworth@lw.com | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | ALSO PRESENT: DeSHAWN WHITE, VIDEO OPERATOR | |

| 1 | | The court reporter may now swear in | Page 6 |
|----|---------|--|--------|
| 2 | or a | ffirm the deponent. | |
| 3 | OI a | MS. REPORTER: One moment. | |
| | Mharai | | |
| 4 | Whereu | · · | |
| 5 | | WILLIAM DALIUS, | |
| 6 | was cal | led for examination, and, after being duly | |
| 7 | sworn, | was examined and testified as follows: | |
| 8 | | MS. REPORTER: You may proceed. | |
| 9 | EX | AMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF | |
| 10 | | BY MR. LYONS: | |
| 11 | Q. | Good morning, Mr. Dalius. How are | |
| 12 | you? | | |
| 13 | A. | Good morning. Doing well. How are | |
| 14 | you? | | |
| 15 | Q. | Good. Thanks. | |
| 16 | | So you you recall, I assume, that | |
| 17 | you | you had your deposition taken once in this | |
| 18 | case a | already, right? | |
| 19 | A. | That's correct. | |
| 20 | Q. | And you were under oath in that | |
| 21 | depos | ition, right? | |
| 22 | A. | Correct. | |
| 23 | Q. | The testimony you gave was was the | |
| 24 | whole | truth and nothing but the truth; is that | |
| 25 | right? | | |
| | | | |

| | | Page 80 |
|----|---|---------|
| 1 | looked at the overall picture when we did | |
| 2 | evaluations of prisons. | |
| 3 | Q. Did you compare the numbers of | |
| 4 | correctional staff deaths between CCA-operated | |
| 5 | prisons and BOP-operated prisons? | |
| 6 | A. No, sir. As I indicated, we looked | |
| 7 | at it as a whole. | |
| 8 | Q. Did you compare the number of inmate | |
| 9 | deaths due to inadequate delivery of medical | |
| 10 | services between CCA-operated operated prisons | |
| 11 | and BOP-operated prisons? | |
| 12 | A. We looked at we we | |
| 13 | evaluated deaths as a system overall. | |
| 14 | Q. Did you compare the numbers of | |
| 15 | significant findings between BOP-operated prisons | |
| 16 | and CCA-operated prisons? | |
| 17 | A. I personally did not, but I will say | |
| 18 | that significant findings happen at every prison, | |
| 19 | so they all prisons have findings, whether | |
| 20 | they be private prisons or BOP prisons. | |
| 21 | Q. Did you compare the numbers of repeat | |
| 22 | deficiencies at CCA-operated prisons to | |
| 23 | BOP-operated prisons? | |
| 24 | A. No, sir. As I indicated before, we | |
| 25 | looked at when I was doing evaluations I | |

| | | Page 81 |
|----|--|---------|
| 1 | looked at all the facilities. BOP and CoreCivic | |
| 2 | and every prison has repeat deficiencies. | |
| 3 | It's unavoidable. It's the nature of the | |
| 4 | business. | |
| 5 | Q. Did you compare the numbers of double | |
| 6 | repeat deficiencies between CCA-operated prisons | |
| 7 | and BOP-operated prisons? | |
| 8 | A. I did not. Again, as I indicated, we | |
| 9 | looked at at universe overall. | |
| 10 | Q. Did you compare the numbers of triple | |
| 11 | repeat deficiencies between CCA-operated prisons | |
| 12 | and BOP-operated prisons? | |
| 13 | A. I I I would give you the same | |
| 14 | answer. It it whether you get to | |
| 15 | that point and you have systemic issues that | |
| 16 | occur, that would be brought to somebody's | |
| 17 | attention, but it's not uncommon for facilities | |
| 18 | to have repeat deficiencies. | |
| 19 | Q. So you'd agree that a triple repeat | |
| 20 | deficiency indicates that you have a systemic | |
| 21 | problem that is occurring, right? | |
| 22 | MR. MCGEE: Object to the form of | |
| 23 | the question. | |
| 24 | THE WITNESS: It depends on the | |
| 25 | deficiency | |

| | | Page 82 |
|----|---|---------|
| 1 | I'm sorry. | |
| 2 | BY MR. LYONS: | |
| 3 | Q. And did you compare the numbers of | |
| 4 | quadruple repeat deficiencies between the | |
| 5 | CCA-operated BOP prisons and the BOP-operated | |
| 6 | prisons? | |
| 7 | A. Again, we reviewed all facilities' | |
| 8 | operations. And if there are systemic issues, we | |
| 9 | would look at the magnitude, what the | |
| 10 | deficiencies are and whether or not we had to | |
| 11 | provide some type of correction action | |
| 12 | corrective action, whether it be a BOP facility | |
| 13 | or a private facility. | |
| 14 | Q. But in terms of your analysis | |
| 15 | comparing CCA's operational performance to the | |
| 16 | BOP's operational performance, you didn't do | |
| 17 | any any rigorous analysis of the numbers of | |
| 18 | all those types of repeat deficiencies and | |
| 19 | significant findings between CCA-operated prisons | |
| 20 | and BOP prisons, did you? | |
| 21 | A. I personal | |
| 22 | MR. MCGEE: Object to the form of | |
| 23 | the question. | |
| 24 | THE WITNESS: I personally did not | |
| 25 | do that. We had staff in our program | |

| | | Page 105 |
|----|--------------------------------------|----------|
| 1 | (Thereupon, a discussion was had off | V-02- |
| 2 | the record.) | |
| 3 | (Thereupon, at 12:44 p.m. CST, a | |
| 4 | lunch recess was taken.) | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |

| | | Page 106 |
|---------|--|----------|
| 1 | AFTERNOON SESSION (1:22 p.m. CST) | |
| 2 | VIDEO OPERATOR: The time is | |
| 3 | 1:22 p.m. and we are now on the record. | |
| 4 | MR. LYONS: Thanks. | |
| 5 | BY MR. LYONS: | |
| 6 | Q. Mr. Dalius, could you please turn | |
| 7 | back to Exhibit 589, which is defendants' | |
| 8 | disclosures. | |
| 9 | A. Was that | |
| 10 | Q. It was tab 1 if that helps, T1. | |
| 11 | A. Oh, that's the the FRCP 26? | |
| 12 | Q. Yes. | |
| 13 | A. Okay. I'm I'm there. | |
| 14 | Q. Looking under the that first | |
| 15 | heading, number 1, Subject Matter of Testimony, | |
| 16 | do you see where the last sentence says, | |
| 17 | Mr. Dalius may also testify about his | |
| 18 | expectations for the renewal and retention of | |
| 19 | CoreCivic's BOP contracts? | |
| 20 | You see that? | |
| 21 | A. Yes. | |
| 22 | Q. And then also looking at the last | |
| 23 | sentence under heading 2, do you see where it | |
| 24 | says, Mr. Dalius may also testify that he | |
| 25 | expected CoreCivic's BOP contracts to be renewed | |
| 200.000 | | |

Page 107 1 based on the knowledge and experience he gained 2 from serving as a senior executive with the BOP and CoreCivic? 3 4 Α. Yes, sir. Q. You see that? 6 At what time do you plan to testify that you expected CoreCivic's BOP contracts to be 7 renewed? 8 MR. MCGEE: Object to the form of 9 10 the question. THE WITNESS: So was this -- was 11 12 this in my prior role or my current role? BY MR. LYONS: 13 14 Q. That's my question partly. 15 Α. I -- in my current role I expect them 16 all to be renewed. 17 Q. But do you plan to testify that as of February 27, 2012 to August 17, 2016 you 18 19 specifically expected CoreCivic's BOP contracts to be renewed? 20 21 I expected all private contracts to Α. 22 be renewed unless there was just some really 23 undistinguished or something -- something that 24 may have occurred that -- that they would have violated the contract. 25

Page 108 Q. 1 So if -- if the BOP did not renew a 2 contract, that would mean that something --3 something bad happened that -- that violated the 4 contract? (Crosstalk) 6 MR. MCGEE: Object -- object to the form of the question. 7 8 Go -- go ahead, Bill. THE WITNESS: Okay. And -- and I'm 9 10 sorry to the court reporter. I know I jumped in. 11 Not necessarily -- not -- not 12 13 necessarily, sir. Contracts were not renewed for a number of reasons, but 14 15 typically, from my recollection, the reason 16 contracts were not awarded was a cost 17 reason. 18 So if the contracts were currently at 19 a higher level than what the market was 20 showing, the -- the possibility of not 21 getting renewal occurred. 22 BY MR. LYONS: So what about contracts rebids? Did 23 Q. 24 you expect that when CoreCivic's BOP contracts were rebid that CoreCivic would win the -- the 25

Page 136 1 Q. Is there a document somewhere that 2 shows somebody telling you that we are awarding 3 this contract to GEO instead of CCA exclusively 4 because of the housing of multiple populations? I don't know the answer to that. Could be. Don't know. 6 And if Mr. Hininger told CCA's board 7 Q. of directors that one of the two reasons that the 8 9 CCA proposal for requirement A was unsuccessful, 10 was -- in the past performance section of our 11 proposal, concerns about our performance in 12 medical services at our Cibola, New Mexico and 13 Eden, Texas facilities were raised, was he 14 misleading the CCA board of directors? MR. MCGEE: Object to the form of 15 16 the question. THE WITNESS: I -- I can't speak 17 18 for Mr. Hininger. I'm not sure what he 19 told the board of directors. BY MR. LYONS: 20 21 Q. Looking back at Exhibit 589, the 22 penultimate sentence, you see it begins with 23 Mr. Dalius may also testify that CoreCivic 24 delivers comparable correctional services at a lower cost than the BOP and describe the various 25

Page 137 1 cost components supporting that opinion? Yes, sir, I see that. 2 Α. 3 Q. Do you plan to give that testimony? 4 Α. Yes, sir. 5 Q. What are the cost components you plan 6 to testify about in that regard? 7 Α. I -- I can tell you the major drivers and the difference between cost between CoreCivic 8 9 and the BOP are the BOP does not include capital 10 expenditures. So if they go build a \$350 million low security prison, that is not included in 11 12 their daily per capita that they publish to the 13 Congress. 14 BOP pensions from retirees are not 15 included in the BOP per capita. They -- they average national -- what they would call 16 17 national-type expenses that are, like, phone and 18 postage and things that are captured nationally 19 and prorate that across the system. So it 20 could -- could -- could be off a little bit by 21 those areas. But the major drivers are the CapEx 22 things and any -- any -- when I say CapEx, the construction of the facilities themselves is not 23 24 included, any major -- any major renovations over 25 \$10,000 would not be included in their

Page 138 1 per capita, whereas with CoreCivic, we have to 2 include those in our costs. I mean, that's 3 what -- we've -- we've got to maintain -- we've 4 got to cover all of our cost of operations in order to function. 5 6 So there -- there's -- there's a -- a 7 multitude of things that the BOP omits. If they 8 have a riot in a facility, they take those 9 expenses out against that facility. Say they had 10 a riot in a low security facility. They would exclude those. If they've got a major medical 11 12 catastrophic -- say a guy had a heart attack, 13 went downtown and it cost 300,000 to fix the 14 heart attack or an inmate put on a med that cost 15 several hundred thousand, they -- they exclude 16 those costs. 17 And I know that because I developed 18 the per capita for the Bureau of Prisons when I 19 worked in budget execution. So it's -- it's --20 it's really -- you can make an apples-and-apples 21 by adding those things back into BOP's 22 per capita, but the -- clearly the cost for 23 CoreCivic is much lower than it is for the BOP. 24 Q. Are there any other cost components that you plan to testify about? 25

Page 139 1 Α. They would be the major components that -- that have the biggest impact on -- on the 2 differences. 3 4 Q. So any other components you don't 5 think would have a material impact? 6 Α. They would have the most material 7 impact. Q. 8 Can you think of any others that 9 would have a material impact? 10 Α. Not as material as those, but the --11 the pension and the -- the -- the construction of 12 the actual facilities and the repairs and 13 maintenance of anything over \$10,000 are -- are 14 huge components of the cost factors. Q. And then what about on the CCA side 15 of the equation? What costs do you include? 16 17 Α. Well, that's a given because BOP knows exactly what they're paying for the 18 19 per diem. So they're all -- they're all 20 included. When we bid on a job, we've got to 21 include all of our costs. 22 Q. So the only cost to the government of 23 CCA operating a BOP prison is a per diem that the 24 government pays to CCA; is that your testimony? 25 Α. That's correct. That's correct.

| | | | Page 140 |
|----|-----------|--|----------|
| 1 | Q. | Is there something called a | |
| 2 | privatiza | ation management branch in the BOP? | |
| 3 | A. | Yes. | |
| 4 | Q. | Who pays for that? | |
| 5 | A. | The government, taxpayers, the Bureau | |
| 6 | of Priso | ns. | |
| 7 | Q. | Are there public sector employees who | |
| 8 | write the | e contract for a private prison? | |
| 9 | A. | Anybody that works for the BOP is a | |
| 10 | public e | employee. | |
| 11 | Q. | Is that a yes? | |
| 12 | A. | Yes. | |
| 13 | Q. | Okay. Sorry, I didn't mean to cut | |
| 14 | you off | | |
| 15 | | And who pays for the public sector | |
| 16 | employ | rees who write the contracts for a private | |
| 17 | prison? | | |
| 18 | A. | The Bureau of Prisons. | |
| 19 | Q. | Is it it's BOP employees that | |
| 20 | decide | and and manage the process of awarding | |
| 21 | a contr | act to CCA, right? | |
| 22 | A. | Yes, sir. | |
| 23 | Q. | And who pays for that? | |
| 24 | A. | And and and the other | |
| 25 | compo | nents. | |

| 1 | CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY |
|----|--|
| 2 | I, MISTY KLAPPER, the officer before |
| 3 | whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do |
| 4 | hereby certify that the witness whose |
| 5 | testimony appears in the foregoing |
| 6 | deposition was duly sworn by me; that the |
| 7 | testimony of said witness was taken by me in |
| 8 | shorthand and thereafter reduced to |
| 9 | typewriting by me; that said deposition is a |
| LO | true record of the testimony given by said |
| L1 | witness; that I am neither counsel for, |
| L2 | related to, nor employed by any of the |
| L3 | parties to the action in which this |
| L4 | deposition was taken; and, further, that I |
| L5 | am not a relative or employee of any |
| L6 | attorney or counsel employed by the parties |
| L7 | hereto, nor financially or otherwise |
| L8 | interested in the outcome of this action. |
| L9 | Further, that if the foregoing pertains to |
| 20 | the original transcript of a deposition in a federal |
| 21 | case, before completion of the proceedings, review |
| 22 | of the transcript [X] was [] was not requested. |
| 23 | Dated: November 4, 2020 |
| 24 | Misty Klapper, RMR, CRR and Notary Public |
| 25 | |